

19 March 2013 [04-13]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1081

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Soybean Line SYHT0H2

Date received: 29 January 2013 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 20 February 2013 Date completed: 20 February 2013			
Applicant: Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd & Syngenta Seeds Pty Ltd		Potentially affected Standard:	
Brief description of Application:		Standard 1.5.2	
To seek permission for food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean, genetically modified to provide tolerance to the herbicide glufosinate- ammonium and tolerance to herbicides that inhibit p- hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD).			
Procedure:	Estimated total hours:	Estimated start	
General	Maximum 350	work:	
		March 2013	
	Reasons why:		
	This kind of application involves an assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety.		

Decision

Application accepted

Date: 20 February 2013

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial inform	nation status?
--	----------------

Yes**√**

The following documents within the Application Dossier are effected.

<u>Appendix 1</u>

Table 3 Figure 5 (A, B & C) Appendix A Appendix B Appendix 3

No

Table 2 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 5 Appendix A Appendix B

Appendix 5

Table A1

In information separately received from Syngenta, a request for CCI, of all residue data generated on mesotrione, has been made. The request includes that the information not be shared with the co-Applicant, Bayer CropScience.

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?

Yes 🖌 No N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.

NOTE: The Applicants do not consider an ECCB is justified

Due date for fees: 21 March 2013 (fees received 14 March 2013)

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No 🗸 Not known

Application Handbook requirements

 Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application?

 3.1.1, 3.5.1

 Is the checklist completed?

 Yes ✓
 No

 Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

 Yes ✓
 No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes 🖌 No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No 🗸

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Nil

Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period			
6 weeks			
Proposed timeframe for assessment:			
'Early Bird Notification' due: 21 March 2013			
Commence assessment (clock start)	14 March2013		
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Early July 2013		
Public comment	Mid-July – Early September 2013		
Board to complete approval	Early December 2013		
Notification to Forum	Mid-December 2013		
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Late February 2014		